



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,999	07/25/2003	Lai Meng Cheng	MXIC-P910220	3721
<div>7590 Kenton R. Mullins Stout, Uxa, Buyan &amp; Mullins, LLP Suite 300 4 Venture Irvine, CA 92618</div>			<div>EXAMINER EHICHIOYA, FRED I</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 2162</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 09/12/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,999	CHENG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred I. Ehichioya	2162	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Fred I. Ehichioya

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) Kenton Mullins (Reg. No. 36,331)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 September 2007
**Time:** 6:15 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called applicant's representative (Mr. Mullins) and informed him that original claim 23 depends on cancelled claim 22.  
 Mr Mullins suggested that claim 23 should now depend from claim 21.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 Mohammad Ali

SPE 2169

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)